UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,688	01/27/2004	Anand Baichwal	540.91195CP5	2079
	7590 10/31/200 dson & Kappel, LLC	EXAMINER		
485 7th Avenue			ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
14th Floor New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/766,688	0/766,688 BAICHWAL, ANAND				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES W. ROGERS	1618				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>James W Rogers, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Richard Zanzalari, Reg. No. 49,032</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>22 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All Pending</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NA</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with Richard Zanzalari in regards to the status of application 10/766,688, a response was due on 9/18/2008. Mr. Zanzalari expressed the desire of applicants to abandon this case. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		ould render the	claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPROPERTY.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/James W Rogers, Ph.D./						

Application No.

Applicant(s)